

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,451	02/24/2004	James Babjak	4453-A1	8508	
45848 7	590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER		
MICHAEL WINFIELD GOLTRY 4000 N. CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 1220			WUJCIAK, ALFRED J		
PHOENIX, AZ 85012		1220	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3632		
		DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/785,451	BABJAK, JAMES			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	3632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,5-8 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 8 and 11 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,5-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examinon The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/785,451

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the final Office Action for the serial number 10/785,451, HANGER APARATUS, filed on 2/24/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent # 5,383,259 to McIntire and in view of US Patent # 4,955,862 to Sepetka.

McIntire teaches a hanger apparatus (figure 2) comprising a helix (42) having a first end of coil and a second end of coil. The first end of coil includes engagement means (44) for receiving and holding a selected object. The hanger includes at least one coil intermediate the first end of coil and the second end of coil. The coils are forming in a frusto-conically tapered shape of helix having a hollow core. The first end of coil has a larger diameter than the second end of coil. The engagement means includes a hook depending from the first end of coil.

McIntire teaches the coils but fails to teach the coils are fabricated of a strand of material. Sepetka teaches the coils (38) are made of strand material (39, col. 5, lines 35-40). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified McIntire's coils with strand material as taught by Sepetka to increase flexible in the coils to provide convenience for adjusting the helix when inserting on an object.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8 and 11 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art fails to teach the first end of coil is received against the first side of the substrate and the second end of coil is received against the second side of the substrate.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/27/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the hook from McIntires invention does not suggest or show it is attached to one of the coils of wire bale 42. The examiner disagrees with the applicant because in figure 23 of McIntires' invention shows separated pieces of invention and that the hook is attached to the coils of wire bale. The specification, column 4, lines 14-15, states "a wire bale 42 with a hook 44" which means the wire bale contains hook and the hook is part of wire bale.

The applicant disagrees that McIntires teaches helix of coils having a hollow core. The examiner disagrees with the applicant because figures 1-2 clearly show the helix of coils having a hollow core to provide space for the element 43 to be inserted therethrough and element 41 to

Application/Control Number: 10/785,451

Art Unit: 3632

be secured therein. If the helix were not designed in hollow core then element 41 wouldn't be retained in the coils.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, McIntire and Sepetka both teach helix coils having hollow core and that it is an obvious to have modified McIntire's coil material with Sepetka's coil strand material to provide flexibility in the coil for convenience of adjusting the helix when inserting on an object.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/785,451

Art Unit: 3632

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (571) 272-6827. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III

A. Whyle

Examiner

Art Unit 3632

5/2/06